

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	09/871,176	SMITH ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	OLEG SURVILLO	2442	

  

**All Participants:**

(1) Oleg Survillo, Examiner AU 2442.

(2) Dale G. Mohlenhoff, Reg. No.: 37,683.

**Date of Interview:** 17 April 2009

**Type of Interview:**

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant    ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes    ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

*none*

Claims discussed:

*1 and 21*

Prior art documents discussed:

*none*

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

*See Continuation Sheet*

**Part III.**

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

**Status of Application:** Allowed

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Called applicant's attorney to discuss antecedent basis issues with several claims and proposed claim amendments that would place the application in condition for allowance. It was agreed on that the proposed claim amendments would be faxed to the attorney for review and approval by the client. In a subsequent interview on April 21, 2009 an authorization from the applicant's attorney was granted for this examiner's amendment.